RESEARCH ARTICLE



Chromosomal diversification in ribosomal DNA sites in Ancistrus Kner, 1854 (Loricariidae, Ancistrini) from three hydrographic basins of Mato Grosso, Brazil

Sandra Mariotto^{1,†}, Liano Centofante², Marcelo Ricardo Vicari³, Roberto Ferreira Artoni³, Orlando Moreira-Filho⁴

Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de Mato Grosso, campus Bela Vista, Cuiabá, Mato Grosso, Brazil 2 Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso, Cuiabá, Mato Grosso, Brazil
Departamento de Biologia Estrutural, Molecular e Genética, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, Ponta Grossa, Paraná, Brazil 4 Programa de Pós-Graduação em Genética e Evolução, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil

Corresponding author: Sandra Mariotto (mariottodolina@bol.com.br)

Academic editor: V. Kuznetsova | Received 5 August 2011 | Accepted 7 October 2011 | Published 9 November 2011

Citation: Mariotto S, Centofante L, Vicari MR, Artoni RFA, Filho OM (2011) Chromosomal diversification in ribosomal DNA sites in Ancistrus Kner, 1854 (Loricariidae, Ancistrini) from three hydrographic basins of Mato Grosso, Brazil. Comparative Cytogenetics 5(4): 289–300. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v5i4.1757

Abstract

Populations of seven *Ancistrus* species were analyzed from streams and rivers of three hydrographic Brazilian basins. All populations showed different diploid numbers (2n), fundamental numbers (FNs), and karyotypes. Some representatives of Loricariidae have 2n = 54 chromosomes, which is very likely an ancestral cytotaxonomic characteristic, but many other representatives show extensive karyotype diversification. In the *Ancistrus* species studied, extensive karyotypic differentiation, which is generally associated with chromosome number reduction and rearrangement of the ribosomal RNA gene (rDNA) sites, was verified. Chromosomal locations of 18S and 5S rDNA were jointly detected using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH). In all the *Ancistrus* species analyzed, 18S rDNA sites were detected only on one chromosome pair, though this differed among species. 5S rDNA was located on 1–3 chromosome pairs either separately or in synteny with 18S rDNA in four of the seven species/populations. Hence the karyotype differentiation in *Ancistrus* species could be associated with a morphological speciation process, suggesting that chromosome fusions, inversions, deletions, duplications, and heterochromatination could contribute to the karyotype evolution of these neotropical armored catfishes.

Keywords

karyotype evolution, Robertsonian rearrangement, Ag-NORs, 18S rDNA, 5S rDNA

Copyright Sandra Mariotto et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

In eukaryotes, 5S and 18S ribosomal genes (rDNA) are arranged into two distinct classes, namely the major rDNA family composed of 18S, 5.8S, and 28S genes and the minor family composed of 5S genes (Long and David 1980, Pendás et al. 1994). Silver nitrate-stained nucleolus organizing regions (Ag-NORs) have long been used in cytotaxonomic analysis of fish (Galetti 1998). However, cytogenetic comparisons of banding patterns prove inadequate when dealing with species with highly rearranged genomes or with other highly divergent species (Chowdhary and Raudsepp 2001). Hence, comparison using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) associated with classical chromosomal markers has become the preferred method for genome comparisons at the cytogenetic level because it allows complete chromosome probes of a species to be hybridized *in situ* with chromosomes of other species, thereby allowing the detection of homologous genomic regions (Vicari et al. 2010; Bellafronte et al. 2011; Machado et al. 2011).

Studies that characterize the chromosomal locations of 5S and 18S rDNA in Siluriformes are scarce (Kavalco et al. 2004; Centofante et al. 2006; Mendes-Neto et al. 2011). A few such studies were conducted in Pimelodidade and Pseudopimelodidae, where non-syntenic 5S and 18S ribosomal regions were observed (Carvalho and Dias 2007; Garcia and Moreira-Filho 2008; Marques et al. 2008; Matoso et al. 2011; Moraes Neto et al. 2011; Silva et al. 2011). In Loricariidae, chromosomes with syntenic 5S and 18S regions were observed in some groups like Neoplecostominae and the out group Trichomycteridae (Ziemniczak 2011).

The classification of subfamilies within Loricariidae and the genera relationships have been targets of repeated reformulation (Isbrücker 1980; Armbruster 2004; Reis et al. 2006). Armbruster (2004) placed the subfamilies Hypoptopomatinae, Hypostominae, Lithogeneinae, Loricariinae, and Neoplecostominae as valid groups within this family. In this revision, the former subfamily Ancistrinae is regarded as a synonym of Hypostominae, which comprises five tribes, namely Corymbophanini, Rhinelepini, Hypostomini, Pterygoplichthini, and Ancistrini. The available karyotypic data on Loricariidae show a high diversity of diploid numbers (2n) and chromosomal features, although some evolutionary trends can be defined among the distinct subfamilies (Ziemniczak 2011). Artoni and Bertollo (2001) stated that 2n = 54 chromosomes would be a putative plesiomorphic trait in Loricariidae once it is reported in the basal genera and the sister group of the superfamily Loricarioidea, as described in Trichomycteridae. Therefore, groups such as Loricariinae and Hypostominae present a wide diversity of 2n and chromosomal markers, comprising highly differentiated traits in relation to primitive features of Loricariidae (Artoni and Bertollo 2001; Mariotto et al. 2009). These inferences based on cytogenetic data are in agreement with the last hypotheses of morphological (Armbruster 2004; Reis et al. 2006) and molecular phylogenies (Cramer et al. 2011) in this family.

Among Hypostominae, few species maintain the number of 54 chromosomes. All representatives in Ancistrini have $2n \le 54$, indicating that centric fusions contributed

to the karyoevolution of this tribe. In this study, we used comparative chromosomal markers to establish chromosome homologies among some *Ancistrus* species and investigated the cytotaxonomical, biogeographical, and karyoevolutionary features of this group.

Materials and methods

One hundred and thirty six specimens [male (M) and female (F)] of seven *Ancistrus* species were cytogenetically analyzed. All specimens were from rivers and streams of three hydrographic basins (a, b, and c) of Mato Grosso state, Brazil: (a) Paraguay basin, Coxipó river, 15°21'59"S, 55°57'11"W, *Ancistrus claro* Knaack, 1999 (11 M and 10 F); Sepotuba river, 14°41'35"S, 57°48'14"W, *Ancistrus* sp. 04 (12 M and 15 F); Currupira river, 15°07'59"S, 56°49'47"W, *Ancistrus* sp. 08 (7 M and 8 F); Flechas stream, 15°58'7"S, 57°19'7"W, Fundo stream, 16°14'17"S, 56°37'31"W, and Pari stream, 15°36'6"S, 56°12'19"W, *Ancistrus* cf. *dubius* Eigenmann and Eigenmann, 1889 (2 M and 2 F from each locality); Arrombado bay, 16°21'21"S, 56°27'55"W, *Ancistrus cuiabae* Knaack, 1999 (15 M and 15 F); (b) Araguaia–Tocantins basin, Salgadinho stream, 14°40'14"S, 52°21'50"W, *Ancistrus* sp. 13 (11 M and 6 F); and (c) Amazon basin, Matrixá river, 10°3'7"S, 57°36'27"W, *Ancistrus* sp. 06 (9 M and 5 F).

Specimens were morphologically identified and deposited in the Museu de Ciências da Pontíficia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (MCP/PUC; MCP 41966, 41968, 41971, 41973, 41975, 41978, 41979) and Núcleo de Pesquisas Limnológicas da Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Paraná (NUPELIA/UEM; NUP 6827, 7492). *Ancistrus* sp. 04, 06, 08, and 13 present discriminative morphological characteristics that have not yet been described.

Chromosomal preparations were obtained from anterior kidney cells using an *in vivo* treatment with colchicine (Bertollo et al. 1978). The nucleolar organizing regions (NORs) were located using colloidal silver nitrate (Howell and Black 1980). A digital camera with an 8.1 Mp resolution was used in light field microscopy to photograph the Ag-NORs.

FISH was performed according to Pinkel et al. (1986). Two probes were used, namely an 18S rDNA probe obtained from the nuclear DNA of *Prochilodus argenteus* Spix and Agassiz, 1829 (Hatanaka and Galetti 2004) and a 5S rDNA probe obtained from the genomic DNA of *Leporinus elongatus* Valenciennes, 1850 (Martins and Galetti 1999). The 18S and 5S rDNA probes were labeled with biotin-16-dUTP and digoxigenin-11-dUTP, respectively, through nick translation according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche Applied Science). The overall hybridization procedure was performed under high-stringency conditions (2.5 ng/µL from each probe, 50% deionized formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, 2×SSC, pH 7.0–7.2, incubation at 37°C overnight). After hybridization, the slides were washed in 15% formamide/0.2×SSC at 42°C for 20 min, 0.1×SSC at 60°C for 15 min, and 4×SSC/0.05% Tween at room temperature for 10 min, with the latter con-

sisting of two washes of 5 min each. Signal detection was performed for 1 h using conjugated avidin–fluorescein isothiocyanate (Sigma) for the 18S rDNA and anti-digoxigenin–rhodamine (Roche Applied Science) for 5S rDNA at 1:1000 and 1:200 dilutions, respectively, in non-fat dry milk buffer (5% non-fat dry milk in $4\times$ SSC). The chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI and analyzed under an epifluorescence microscope (Olympus BX41) coupled to an image capturing system (Olympus DP71). Approximately 30 metaphases were analyzed to determine the 2n, karyotypic formulae, and the presence or absence of rDNA sites on the chromosomes.

Results

The studied species showed variations in 2n and in karyotypic formulae (Table 1, Fig. 1). The 2n ranged from 54 chromosomes in *A. claro* to 34 chromosomes in *A. cuiabae*. The fundamental number (FN) varied from 68 to 86 chromosome arms (Table 1). NORs were seen in a single chromosome pair in all the *Ancistrus* sp. analyzed using silver nitrate staining and FISH with 18S rDNA probe (Fig. 1). However, an interspecific variation was observed in the NOR-bearing chromosome pairs and NOR locations in these chromosomes (Table 1, Fig. 1 and 2).

Diploid number (2n), metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), subtelocentric (st), acrocentric (a), fundamental number (FN), sex chromosome system (SC).

The number of 5S rDNA sites varied among species (Fig. 1). Multiple locations were observed in all species, except Ancistrus sp. 06 (Fig. 1). Dual-color FISH using 5S and 18S probes showed co-localized sites on apparently homeologous chromosome pairs in A. claro, Ancistrus sp. 08, A. cf. dubius, and Ancistrus sp. 06 (Fig. 1). However, in Ancistrus sp. 04, A. cuiabae, and Ancistrus sp. 13, 18S and 5S rDNA probing revealed different chromosome pairs carrying 18S and 5S rDNA (Fig. 1). Ancistrus claro showed syntenic rDNA site in pair 21 and four additional 5S rDNA sites (Fig. 1). In Ancistrus sp. 04, syntenic 18S and 5S rDNA classes in pair 22 and additional 5S rDNA sites in pairs 17 and 25, as well as one homologue in pair 26 were visualized (Fig. 1). Ancistrus sp. 08 showed 18S rDNA syntenic to 5S rDNA in pair 13 and an additional 5S rDNA site in pair 1 (Fig. 1). In addition, Ancistrus sp. 08 had a heteromorphic ZZ/ZW sex chromosome system in pair 20 (Fig. 1). Ancistrus cf. dubius showed syntenic 18S and 5S rDNA classes in pair 16, and additional 5S rDNA sites in pairs 4 and 14 (Fig. 1). This species presented an extensive heterochromatic region in pair 19 of females and in one of the complements of pair 19 of males, thus characterizing a sexual chromosomal system, XX/XY (data not shown). Ancistrus cuiabae showed 18S rDNA located in pair 2 and three different pairs (3, 6, and 9) carrying 5S rDNA sites (Fig. 1). In Ancistrus sp. 13, 18S rDNA was located in pair 18, and 5S rDNA was located in pairs 5 and 15 (Fig. 1). Ancistrus sp. 06 showed syntenic rDNA classes in pair 21 (Fig. 1).

Species/basin	2n	Karyotypic formulae	FN	SC	rDNA synteny
nParaguay basi				1	1
A. claro	54	14m+8sm+8st+24a	84	-	Present
Ancistrus sp. 04	52	16m+8sm+6st+22a	82	-	Absent
Ancistrus sp. 08	44	18m+10sm+8st+8a	80	ZZ/ZW	Present
A. cf. dubius	42	24m+10sm+8st	84	XX/XY	Present
A. cuiabae	34	20m+8sm+6st	68	-	Absent
nAraguaia–Tocantins basi					
Ancistrus sp. 13	40	26 m+10sm+4st	80	-	Absent
nAmazon basi					
Ancistrus sp. 06	50	18m+10sm+8st+14a	86	-	Present

Table 1. Chromosomal data in analyzed Ancistrus species.

Discussion

The catfish Loricariidae is one of the most speciose components of neotropical freshwater fish fauna. The karyotypic differentiation of Ancistrini is correlated with the great diversification of forms in this tribe and may play an important role in the genetic/ reproductive isolation of species (Ziemniczak 2011). Some representatives of Loricariidae have 2n = 54 chromosomes, which is very likely an ancestral cytotaxonomic characteristic, but many other representatives show extensive karyotype diversification (Artoni and Bertollo 2001; Milhomem et al. 2010; Bueno et al. 2011; Ziemniczak 2011). *Ancistrus* is the most speciose genus in the tribe and exhibits extensive karyotypic differentiation, generally associated with a chromosome number reduction (Alves et al. 2003; Mariotto et al. 2009).

This study revealed that chromosome fusion is the major mechanism in 2n reduction of some Ancistrini species. In the sister group Hypostomini, in which all species present $2n \ge 54$, it has been postulated that the increase in the subtelocentric/acrocentric chromosome number is directly proportional to 2n, thereby indicating that centric fissions have played a key role in karyotype evolution of the group (Artoni and Bertollo 2001; Milhomem et al. 2010). Although this hypothesis is partially supported in this tribe, it was not possible to correlate 2n with the proportion of subtelocentric/acrocentric chromosomes in some species (Bueno et al. 2011). In this study, we hypothesized that the 2n primitive to the family is conserved in *A. claro*, and extensive chromosomal rearrangements, such as chromosome fusions, inversions, deletions, duplications, and heterochromatination, could contribute to the chromosomal differentiation of Ancistrini. This assumption is corroborated by the NF value (Table 1), which is not maintained solely by chromosome fusion.

Ag-NORs can also be used as efficient markers in Loricariidae. A single NOR pair in an interstitial location is considered a primitive characteristic in Loricariidae and is maintained in most Ancistrini species (Artoni and Bertollo 2001; Alves et al. 2003; Mariotto et al. 2004; Mariotto and Miyazawa 2006; de Oliveira et al. 2006, 2007,



Figure 1. Chromosomes of *Ancistrus* species after dual color-FISH showing 5S rDNA (red) and 18S rDNA (green) sites. Silver nitrate-stained nucleolar organizing region (Ag-NOR) patterns are shown in the boxes. Bars = $10 \mu m$.

Mariotto et al. 2009; Mendes Neto et al. 2011). Utilizing rDNA probes for dual-color FISH experiments have provided important information about the chromosomal diversification of this fish group. Ziemniczak (2011) described syntenic, adjacent, and interstitial locations of 18S and 5S rDNA classes in a single chromosome pair in the basal genera of Loricariidae and in its out group, Trichomycteridae. Based on these data, Ziemniczak (2011) inferred that the synteny between both rDNA classes in a single chromosome pair is a primitive condition for Loricariidae.

Ancistrus claro, A. cf. dubius, Ancistrus sp. 08, and Ancistrus sp. 06 conserve the interstitial NORs in a putative homologous pair. However, the chromosomal morphologies of NOR-bearing chromosomes vary, probably because of the accumulation of adjacent heterochromatin (Vicari et al. 2006, 2008; Kantek et al. 2009) and/or by variation in the size of rDNA from unequal crossover. The latter mechanism can also explain 18S rDNA polymorphism in *A. cuiabae*. Based on these data, it can be said that the proposal of an ancestral karyotype in the genus is similar to that presented by *A. claro*, which has 2n = 54 chromosomes, a high NF value, and one chromosome pair with syntenic 5S and 18S rDNA classes.

FISH mapping of 5S rDNA in *Ancistrus* species showed variations in the number and shape of chromosomes bearing this ribosomal family. Most sites were observed in the interstitial portion of the long or short arm of chromosomes; however, in some cases, such as *A*. cf. *dubius* and *Ancistrus* sp. 08, pericentromeric 5S rDNA sites were visualized. The occurrence of multiple and variable 5S rDNA can be considered an important process underlying this huge karyotypic diversity. In the subfamily Loricariinae, Ziemniczak (2011) demonstrated that interstitial telomeric sites and 5S rDNA can be observed in fusion chromosomes, implying that rDNA could serve as a breakpoint for fusion in *Rinelocaria lima*. Thus, the mechanism generating fused chromosomes or others by sequence transpositions that promote chromosome diversification can help to explain karyotypic evolution in *Ancistrus* species.

Based on the trends in the karyotype evolution in Ancistrini, it can be inferred that the variation in 2n (54-34 chromosomes) in the Ancistrus species studied could possibly involve several chromosomal rearrangements and gene flow restriction in different hydrographic basins or rivers (Fig. 2). The 2n primitive was found in A. claro from the Paraguay basin, which presents syntenic 18S and 5S rDNA sites in pair 21 and no sex chromosome heteromorphism. However, this species presents two additional 5S rDNA sites, a characteristic considered apomorphic in this family. Ancistrus sp. 04 from the Paraguay basin presents 2n = 52 chromosomes, no syntenic rDNA sites, no sex chromosome heteromorphism, and additional 5S rDNA sites. Hence, rDNA translocation and fusion chromosomes could have occurred in species diversification, and the broken condition of the syntenic rDNAs could have originated in one lineage with A. cuiabae and Ancistrus sp. 13. The similarity among them is maintained by no syntenic rDNA sites, no sex chromosome heteromorphism, and closely hydrographic basins. However, the pair carrying 18S rDNA in Ancistrus sp. 04 is apparently homeologous to chromosome pair 18 in Ancistrus sp. 13, which could have originated in the second pair in A. cuiabae by chromosome fusion.



Figure 2. Idiograms of chromosomes bearing 5S (red) and 18S (green) rDNA (a–g); (h) probable chromosomal rearrangements (fusions, transpositions, and gene duplication) occurred during the evolution of *Ancistrus* species; ** denotes possible homeologous chromosomes.

The other lineage consists of species that retain the syntenic rDNA sites (*A. claro*, *Ancistrus* sp. 08, *A.* cf. *dubius*, and *Ancistrus* sp. 06). In addition to *A. claro*, species from the Amazon basin (*Ancistrus* sp. 06) have 2n = 50 chromosomes, considered to have been derived from the family. However, this species retains a primitive single NOR pair with syntenic 5S rDNA site and no additional site. Thus, the chromosome number reduction in *Ancistrus* sp. 06 is attributable to chromosome fusion. *Ancistrus* sp. 08 and *A. dubius* from the Paraguay basin have chromosome number reduction (2n = 44 and 2n = 42, respectively) by fusion and independent pathways to differentiated sex chromosome systems (Mariotto et al. 2004; Mariotto and Miyazawa 2006). *Ancistrus* sp. 08 shows a ZZ/ZW system relative to pair 20 (Mariotto and Miyazawa 2006), whereas *A. dubius* has a XX/XY heteromorphic sex system in pair 19 (Mariotto et al. 2004).

Nevertheless, there is large chromosome plasticity among the species from the Paraguay basin, and the diversity of chromosome types with 5S and 18S ribosomal cistrons in *Ancistrus* sp. explain the high degree of karyotypic diversification in this taxon. Also, the 18S rDNA marker, which is mostly considered to be conserved in a single chromosome pair in the interstitial position, showed different site locations in different types of chromosomes.

The variation observed in the 2n, FN, and rDNA sites of the *Ancistrus* sp. could be attributed to structural and numeric chromosome rearrangements. The karyotypic data presented here are important tools for taxonomy of *Ancistrus* species. The karyotype differentiation in Ancistrini could be associated with a morphological speciation process, suggesting that chromosome fusions, inversions, deletions, duplications, and heterochromatination could contribute to the chromosomal differentiation of Ancistrini.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Alexandre Cardoso, Tiago Carvalho (MCT/PUC/RS) and Claudio Zawadzki (NUPELIA/UEM) for the identification of this species. This work was partially supported by FAPEMAT (Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa de Mato Grosso) CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico), CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) and the Fundação Araucária (Fundação Araucária de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico do Estado do Paraná).

References

- Alves AL, Oliveira C, Foresti F (2003) Karyotype variability in eight species of the subfamilies Loricariinae and Ancistrinae (Teleostei, Siluriformes, Loricariidae). Caryologia 56: 57–63.
- Armbruster JW (2004) Phylogenetic relationships of the suckermouth armoured catfishes (Loricariidae) with emphasis on the Hypostominae and the Ancistrinae. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 141: 1–80.

- Artoni RF, Bertollo LAC (2001) Trends in the karyotype evolution of Loricariidae fish (Siluriformes). Hereditas 134: 201–210.
- Bellafronte E, Schemberger MO, Moreira-Filho O, Almeida MC, Artoni RF, Margarido VP, Vicari MR (2011) Chromossomal markers and phylogenetic inferences among species of Parodontidae. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 21: 559–570.
- Bertollo LAC, Takahashi CS, Moreira-Filho O (1978) Citotaxonomic considerations on *Hop-lias lacerdae* (Pisces, Erythrinidae). Brazilian Journal of Genetics 1: 103–120.
- Bueno V, Zawadzki CH, Margarido VP (2011) Trends in chromosome evolution in the genus *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Osteichthyes, Loricariidae): a new perspective about the correlation between diploid number and chromosomes types. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries. doi: 10.1007/s11160–011–9215–9
- Carvalho RA, Dias AL (2007) Interindividual size heteromorphism of NOR and Chromosomal location of 5S rDNA genes in *Iheringichthys labrosus* (Pisces, Pimelodidae). Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology 50: 141–146.
- Centofante L, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O (2006) Cytogenetic characterization and description of an XX/XY₁Y₂ sex chromosome system in catfish *Harttia carvalhoi* (Siluriformes, Loricariidae). Cytogenetic and Genome Research 112: 320–324.
- Chowdhary BP, Raudsepp T (2001) Chromosome painting in farm, pet and wild animal species. Methods in Cell Science 23: 37–55.
- Cramer CA, Bonatto SL, Reis RE (2011) Molecular phylogeny of the Neoplecostominae and Hypoptopomatinae (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) using multiple genes. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 59: 43–52.
- de Oliveira RR, Feldberg E, Anjos MB, Zuanon J (2007) Karyotype characterization and ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes heteromorphism in two species of the catfish genus Ancistrus Kner, 1854 (Siluriformes:Loricariidae) from the Amazon basin. Neotropical Ichthyology 5: 301–306.
- de Oliveira RR, Souza IL, Venere PC (2006) Karyotype description of three species of Loricariidae (Siluriformes) and ocurrence of the ZZ/ZW sexual system in *Hemiancistrus spilomma* Cardoso & Lucinda, 2003. Neotropical Ichthyology 4: 93–97.
- Galetti PM (1998) Chromosome diversity in neotropical fishes: NOR studies. Italian Journal of Zoology 65: 53–56.
- Garcia C, Moreira-Filho O (2008) Localization of ribosomal genes in three *Pimelodus* species (Siluriformes, Pimelodidae) of the São Francisco River: 5S genes as species markers and conservation of the 18S rDNA sites. Genetics and Molecular Biology 31(suppl): 261–264.
- Hatanaka T, Galetti Jr PM (2004) Mapping of the 18S and 5S ribosomal RNA genes in the fish *Prochilodus argenteus* Agassiz 1829 (Characiformes, Prochilodontidae). Genetica 122: 239–244.
- Howell WM, Black DA (1980) Controlled silver-staining of nucleolus organizer regions whit a protective coloidae developer: a l-step method. Experientia 36: 1014–1015.
- Isbrücker IJH (1980) Classification and catalogue of the mailed Loricariidae (Pisces, Siluriformes). Verslagen en Technische Gegevens 22: 1–181.
- Kantek DLZ, Vicari MR, Peres WAM, Cestari MM, Artoni RF, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O (2009) Chromosomal location and distribution of As51 satellite DNA in five

species of the genus *Astyanax* (Teleostei, Characidae, *Incertae sedis*). Journal of Fish Biology 75: 408–421.

- Kavalco KF, Pazza R, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O (2004) Heterochromatin characterization of four fish species of the family Loricariidae (Siluriformes). Hereditas 141: 237–242.
- Long EO, David ID (1980) Repeated genes in eukaryotes. Annual Review of Biochemistry 49: 727–764.
- Machado TC, Pansonato-Alves JC, Pucci MB, Nogaroto V, Almeida MC, Oliveira C, Foresti F, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O, Artoni RF, Vicari MR (2011) Chromosomal painting and ZW sex chromosomes differentiation in *Characidium* (Characiformes, Crenuchidae). BMC Genetics 12: 65.
- Mariotto S, Artoni RF, Miyazawa CS (2004) Occurrence of sexual chromosome, of the type ZZ/ZW, in Ancistrus cf. dubius (Loricariidae, Ancistrinae) of the Paraguay River Basin, Mato Grosso, Brazil. Caryologia 57: 327–331.
- Mariotto S, Centofante L, Miyazawa CS, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O (2009) Chromosome polymorphism in *Ancistrus cuiabae* Knaack, 1999 (Siluriformes, Loricariidae, Ancistrini). Neotropical Ichthyology 7: 595–600.
- Mariotto S, Miyazawa CS (2006) *Ancistrus cf. dubius* (Siluriformes, Ancistrinae), a complex of species. 1. Chromosomal characterization of four populations and occurrence of sex chromosomes of the type XX/XY, in the Pantanal Basin of Mato Grosso, Brazil. Caryologia 59: 299–304.
- Marques MBA, Moreira-Filho O, Garcia C, Margarido VP (2008) Cytogenetical analyses in two endemic fish species from the São Francisco river basin: *Conorhynchus conirostris* and *Lophiosilurus alexandri* (Siluriformes). Genetics and Molecular Biology 31: 215–221.
- Martins C, Galetti Jr. PM (1999) Chromosomal localization of 5S rDNA genes in *Leporinus elongatus* fish (Anostomidae, Characiformes). Chromosome Research 7: 363–367.
- Matoso DA, Val VMFA, Silva M, Moraes-Neto A, Almeida MC, Vicari MR, Moreira-Filho O, Artoni RF (2011) Chromosomal polymorphism in *Steindachneridion melanodermatum* Garavello, 2005 (Siluriformes, Pimelodidae): a reappraisal the existence of sex chromosome system in the species. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 21: 497–508.
- Mendes Neto EOM, Vicari MR, Artoni RF, Moreira-Filho O (2011) Description of karyotype in *Hypostomus regani* (Ihering, 1905) (Teleostei, Loricariidae) from the Piumhi river in Brazil with comments on karyotype variation found in *Hypostomus*. Comparative Cytogenetics 5: 133–142.
- Milhomem SSR, Castro RR, Nagamachi CY, Souza Paes AC, Feldberg E, Pieczarka JC (2010) Different cytotypes in fishes of the genus *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803, (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) from Xingu river (Amazon region, Brazil). Comparative Cytogenetics 4: 11–12.
- Moraes Neto A, Silva M, Matoso DA, Vicari MR, Almeida MC, Collares-Pereira MJ, Artoni RF (2011) Karyotype variability in neotropical catfishes of the family Pimelodidae (Teleostei: Siluriformes). Neotropical Ichthyology 9: 97–105.
- Pendás AM, Morán P, Freije JP, Garcia–Vazquez E (1994) Chromossomal mapping and nucleotide sequence of two tandem repeats of Atlantic Salmo 5S rDNA. Cytogenetic and Cell Genetics 67: 31–36.

- Pinkel D, Straume T, Gray JW (1986) Cytogenetic analysis using quantitative, high-sensitivity, fluorescence hybridization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 83: 2934–2938.
- Reis RE, Pereira EHL, Armbruster JAW (2006) Delturinae, a new loricariid catfish subfamily (Teleostei, Siluriformes), with revisions of *Delturus* and *Hemipsilichthys*. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 147: 277–299.
- Silva M, Matoso DA, Ludwig AM, Gomes E, Almeida MC, Vicari MR, Artoni RF (2011) Natural triploidy in *Rhamdia quelen* identified by cytogenetic monitoring in Iguaçu basin, southern Brazil. Environmental Biology of Fishes 91: 361–366.
- Vicari MR, Almeida MC, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O, Artoni RF (2006) Cytogenetic analysis and chromosomal characteristics of the polymorphic 18S rDNA in the fish *Prochilodus lineatus* (Characiformes, Prochilodontidae). Genetics and Molecular Biology 29: 621–625.
- Vicari MR, Artoni RF, Moreira-Filho O, Bertollo LAC (2008) Co-localization of repetitive DNAs and silencing of major rDNA genes. A case report in the fish, *Astyanax janeiroensis*. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 122: 67–72.
- Vicari MR, Nogaroto V, Noleto RB, Cestari MM, Cioffi MB, Almeida MC, Moreira-Filho O, Bertollo LAC, Artoni RF (2010) Satellite DNA in Neotropical fishes: Methods, applications and perspectives. Journal of Fish Biology 76: 1094–1116.
- Ziemniczak K (2011) Estudo citogenético em espécies de Loricariidae (Pisces, Siluriformes) das nascentes dos rios Ribeira e Tibagi, Ponta Prossa – PR. MSc dissertation, Ponta Grossa, Brazil Federation: Programa de Pós-Graduação em Genética, Universidade Federal do Paraná, 84pp. [In Portuguese]